

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/768,770	01/29/2004	B0410/7280D1	7050			
22832 Kirknatrick &	7590 02/22/2007 Lockhart Preston Gates Elli	EXAMINER				
STATE STREET FINANCIAL CENTER			AZPURU, CARLOS A			
One Lincoln S BOSTON, MA	Street A 02111-2950	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			1615			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/768,770	GAMBALE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Carlos A. Azpuru	1615		

		Carlos A. Azpuru		1013				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appe	ears on the cover sheet wi	ith the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION	N. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expirat set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3 NOTICE OF APPEAL	the period of extending the of the office later	dension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for rand than three months after the material than three months after the material than three months after the material than the mate	amount origi	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on Action filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a) a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS)), or any exte	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a fi					ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would requ			see NO	ΓE below);				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 								
(d) They present additional claims withou	it canceling a	corresponding number of fir	nally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (Se	_	_						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC112, first paragraph; obviousness-type double patenting. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelin non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-13 and 33-42</u> . Claim(s) objected to:	<i>3</i> 110 11 00.			•				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>43-45</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	—·							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a f because applicant failed to provide a showing	ng of good an							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.1 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the entered because the affidavit or other evidence.	e date of filing							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been expressions.	considered bu	ut does NOT place the appli	ication ir	condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure \$ 13. Other:	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	— (Carlos A. Azpuru	f) X1.			
				Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claims 43-45 raise issues of new matter. Specifically, no support could be found for the proximal opening of claim 43. In claim 44, the lumen referred to in the claims should be in the bellows, not the spring (see page 10, lines 20-23). With regard to claim 45, while the desprition of the central tapered cavity at page 21, lines 3-10, nothing could be found concerning the retention of the drug releasing compound within it..